



Research Paper: The Relationships between Parent-child Interaction and Critical Thinking Disposition: Mediating Role of Cognitive Flexibility



Amir Hosien Moradi¹, Masoume Maleki Pirbazari*², Milad Sabzeara Langaroudi²

¹ Master Student in Educational Psychology, Department of Psychology, Rahman Institute of Higher Education, Ramsar, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology Department, Rahman Institute of Higher Education, Ramsar, Iran

Citation: Moradi, A. H., Maleki Pirbazari, M., Sabzeara Langaroudi, M. (2024). The Relationships between Parent-child Interaction and Critical Thinking Disposition: Mediating Role of Cognitive Flexibility. *Journal of Modern Psychology*, 4(2), 47-60. <https://doi.org/10.22034/jmp.2024.453378.1100>

<https://doi.org/10.22034/jmp.2024.453378.1100>

Article info:

Received date:

01 Dec. 2023

Accepted date:

20 Mar. 2024

Keywords:

Cognitive Flexibility,
Disposition towards Critical
Thinking, Parent-Child
Interaction

Abstract

Objective: Critical thinking is considered a vital skill for life, especially for learning among students. The current research aims to determine a causal model of the relationship between parent-child interaction and the disposition towards critical thinking, with cognitive flexibility playing an intermediary role.

Methods: The design of this research was descriptive-correlational, utilizing structural equation modeling. The study population included male and female middle school students from Lahijan city during the 2023-2024 academic year. A sample of 200 students was selected through convenience sampling. For data collection in this research, the Parent-Child Relationship Scale (PCRS), The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI), and the Cognitive Flexibility Inventory (CFI) were used. The data were then analyzed using Pearson's correlation test and structural equation modeling, with the help of SPSS 26 and LISREL 10.2 software.

Results: The results of the research indicated that the proposed model has a good fit. Additionally, parent-child interaction and cognitive flexibility have a direct effect on the disposition towards critical thinking ($P > 0.05$). Parent-child interaction has a significant and positive indirect effect on the disposition towards critical thinking through cognitive flexibility ($P > 0.05$).

Conclusion: Therefore, it can be concluded that parent-child interaction and cognitive flexibility can predict critical thinking skills in students. School authorities and parents should pay special attention to these variables.

* Corresponding author:

Masoume Maleki Pirbazari

Address: Department of Psychology Department, Rahman Institute of Higher Education, Ramsar, Iran

Tel: +98 (911) 193 3228

E-mail: maleki.m@rahman.ac.ir



© 2024, The Author(s). Published by Rahman Institute of Higher Education. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

1. Introduction

Which parent wouldn't desire their offspring to become proficient in the skills of wise decision-making and effective problem solving?

The significance of critical thinking is undeniably magnified in today's world, where misinformation, frauds, and deceit are commonplace. Reflecting on one's thought processes is a crucial aspect of critical thinking (Pulatova, 2023). Students engage in critical thinking when they analyze, evaluate, interpret, or synthesize information, and employ creative thinking to guide discussions, resolve dilemmas, and formulate conclusions. Critical thinking is vital for cultivating independence and motivating students to make choices and establish personal beliefs. It is essential not only for educational purposes but also for life's broader spectrum. Some experts assert it to be the paramount skill of the 21st century (Akbar, 2023), even though some research in Iran rates students' critical thinking as moderate.

Thinking, a core component of cognition, is the mental action invoked during problem solving. It is a crucial mental operation that aids in defining and structuring experiences, strategizing, assimilating knowledge, reflecting, and innovating (Baron, 2023). Critical thinking, a specific type of thinking, is indispensable for progress in our times. With the surge of information, the need for a curious and inquisitive mind becomes more pressing. Critical thinking contributes to the development of long-term strategies and methods that support youth in selecting and pursuing their ambitions. It is an invaluable

tool for young individuals to interpret and evaluate the plethora of information they encounter (Rasmussen et al., 2016).

Critical thinking stands at the vanguard of education, assisting students in reflecting upon and comprehending their perspectives. This skill enables students to understand the world through their observations and interpretations (Gafurova, 2023). Furthermore, critical thinking provides individuals with the means to approach decision-making and problem solving with clarity, logic, and an organized methodology. Consequently, it leads to more enlightened decisions, inventive solutions, and improved outcomes (Liao et al., 2022). Critical thinking is the scrutiny of facts, observations, evidence, and arguments to inform judgment. It is a sophisticated subject, involving self-corrective, self-monitoring, disciplined, and autonomous thought (Clark et al., 2019). Therefore, a critical thinker is someone who either actively applies critical thinking skills or has been trained in its practices. Critical thinking shields people from deception and manipulation, and it enables them to address problems with greater creativity, autonomy, and efficiency (Sternberg & Halpern, 2020).

The inclination toward critical thinking is associated with factors such as the parent-child interaction. The parent-child relationship is characterized as a distinct and impactful connection that is essential for the physical and mental development of adolescents (Ziegler-Hill & Shackelford, 2020). This bond not only alleviates adolescent anxiety (Bradford et al., 2016) but also molds their moral behavior (Grosch et al., 2014), boosts their engagement (Malzack

& Lawson, 2017), and lays the groundwork for the enhancement of critical thinking (Rasmussen et al., 2016).

Research has shown that the parent-child relationship is a crucial element for the psychological health of children, and aggressive behavior in children is often a consequence of troubled families with dysfunctional structures and inadequate parent-child communication. In such families, ranges of stressors are commonly observed. These include marital strife, lack of parental acceptance (evidenced by an absence of intimacy and support), parental rejection (characterized by a lack of positive emotions and harmful behaviors), inconsistent parental behaviors, psychological control over children, and challenging temperaments (Shigoto et al., 2014; Akcinar & Baydar, 2014; Rohner et al., 2012; Gulay & Onder, 2011). Consequently, the family dynamics, the nature of relationships among family members, the quality of parent-child communication, and the child's temperament play a pivotal role in the emergence of behavioral disorders.

Given that, parents spend a considerable amount of time with their children, their relationship with their offspring and their parenting approaches significantly influence the children's behavioral development. Factors such as leniency, inadequate supervision, hostile interactions, punitive measures, criminality, depression, personality disorders, inconsistent upbringing, contradictory behaviors, frequent failures, threats, humiliation, discrimination, and insufficient parental support can be primary contributors to

behavioral issues (Matthys & Lochman, 2010).

Additionally, studies have demonstrated a correlation between cognitive flexibility and critical thinking (Ionescu, 2012). While critical thinking assists individuals in dissecting problems, cognitive flexibility is crucial in the quest for solutions. Information and data inform us of past occurrences, yet the past is not always a reliable predictor of the future, particularly in swiftly evolving situations. Hence, it is imperative to practice both flexible and critical thinking (Scheibling-Sève et al., 2022). Cognitive flexibility, also known as cognitive shifting, pertains to the brain's capacity to adjust to novel, evolving, or unexpected events. Essentially, cognitive flexibility is the ability to transition from one thought process to another (Ionescu, 2012). Individuals endowed with flexible thinking employ alternative rationales, positively reframe their cognitive schemas, embrace stressful or challenging circumstances, and demonstrate greater psychological resilience than those who lack flexibility (Burton et al., 2010). Some researchers regard cognitive flexibility as an individual's evaluation of the controllability of situations, which varies across different contexts (Zong et al., 2010).

Karimi (2019) concluded in their study, "The Relationship between Parent-Child Interaction Quality and the Tendency towards Critical Thinking and Mental Health Components in Adolescent Female Students," that the quality of the relationship with the father significantly predicts mental health and the inclination towards critical thinking in adolescent female students.

However, the mother's relationship quality did not significantly predict these outcomes. [Neymvari et al. \(2023\)](#) found in their research involving 319 female high school students from Chalus city's public schools a positive and significant relationship between family communication patterns and the tendency towards critical thinking (($r=0.34$, $p<0.05$)). They also reported a positive and significant relationship between cognitive flexibility and the tendency towards critical thinking (($r=0.73$, $p<0.01$)). [Yedl et al. \(2019\)](#) discovered in their study "The Role of Cognitive Flexibility in Children's Learning Power under Dynamic Experimental Conditions" that cognitive flexibility positively correlates with children's reasoning and conclusion abilities. [Daks and Rogge \(2020\)](#), in their meta-analysis "Investigating the Correlations of Psychological Flexibility in Romantic Relationships and Family Dynamics," concluded that psychological flexibility and inflexibility are crucial in shaping interactions within couples and families. This finding underscores the link between psychological flexibility and family communication. [Güner and Gökçe \(2021\)](#) explored the reciprocal effects between the tendency towards critical thinking, cognitive flexibility, math anxiety, and math achievement in 1628 students across grades 4, 8, and 12 using structural equation modeling. Their results indicated a direct and

reciprocal influence between the tendency towards critical thinking and cognitive flexibility. [Zwiers and Crawford \(2023\)](#) demonstrated that establishing a two-way dialogue in the classroom is an effective method for enhancing critical thinking, suggesting that a similar dialogue-based relationship between parents and children could be beneficial. [Campo et al. \(2023\)](#) conducted a study with 263 Spanish students, revealing that the students perceive the type of relationship with teachers and parents as influential on critical thinking.

Upon examination of existing literature and reports, it is apparent that systematic investigations into cognitive flexibility within the dynamics of parent-child interactions, particularly in relation to the propensity for critical thinking among students, are lacking. In light of the significance of these topics, the researcher has studied these variables with the aim of improving the caliber of critical thinking and elevating the educational standards of students. The question arises: Does cognitive flexibility serve as an intermediary factor in the nexus between parent-child interactions and the students' proclivity for critical thinking?




Figure 1

Conceptual model of research

2. Methods

This study is of an applied nature and employs a descriptive-correlational approach through structural equation modeling. It encompasses a diverse group of middle school students, both girls and boys, from Lahijan city in the academic year 2021-2022. According to [Habibi and Kolahi \(2022\)](#), the minimum sample size for correlational research that utilizes structural equation modeling is 200.

2.1. Instruments

Parent-Child Relationship Scale (PCRS): The PCRS, developed by [Fine et al. \(1983\)](#), consists of 24 items used to assess the quality of parent-child relationships. It has two forms, one for evaluating the child's relationship with the mother and the other with the father. Both forms are identical except for the interchangeable terms "father" and "mother." The scale measures four dimensions: positive feelings, father's involvement and enmeshment, communication, and anger for the father's version; and positive feelings, role confusion/disgust, and communication determination for the mother's version. It is

scored on a 7-point Likert scale, with the total score being the average of the subscales. The score range is 24 (low), 96 (medium), and 168 (high). In [Iraqi's research \(2008\)](#), the alpha coefficients ranged from 0.89 to 0.94 for the father-related subscales and 0.96 overall, and from 0.61 (identification) to 0.94 for the mother-related subscales, with an overall alpha of 0.96, indicating excellent internal consistency. The scale's creators obtained these alpha coefficients by administering the questionnaire to 241 students.

The California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (CCTDI): [Facione \(1992\)](#) created this instrument, comprising 34 questions and [Facione in 1992](#) to measure an individual's critical thinking skills (analysis, evaluation, inference, inductive reasoning, and deductive reasoning). Each item has four or five options, and since there is only one correct answer, the test-taker scores 0 or 1 per item. The total individual score ranges from 0 to 34. In [Khalili's study \(1999\)](#), the test reliability was 0.62 using the Kuder-Richardson method, and factor analysis for construct validity indicated that the test consists of five factors (analysis, inference, evaluation, deductive reasoning, and

inductive reasoning), all of which correlated positively and significantly with the total test score. The test also distinguished the level of critical thinking skills between nursing and philosophy students. [Facione \(1997\)](#) reported the test reliability as 0.68-0.70 using the Kuder-Richardson method. [Islami \(2003\)](#) reassessed the reliability of the California Critical Thinking test on teacher-students at teacher training centers in Tehran and reported a reliability of 0.73.

The Cognitive Flexibility Inventory (CFI): Introduced by [Dennis and VanderWal \(2010\)](#), the CFI is a brief 20-item self-report tool used to measure a type of cognitive flexibility necessary for an individual's success in challenging and replacing inefficient thoughts with more efficient ones. This tool is used to evaluate an individual's progress in clinical and non-clinical work and in developing flexible thinking in cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression and other mental illnesses. It is scored on a 7-point Likert scale, with 1 for "strongly disagree," 2 for "disagree," 3 for "somewhat disagree," 4 for "neutral," 5 for "somewhat agree," 6 for "agree," and 7 for "strongly agree." The lowest and highest possible scores are 20 and 140, respectively. In [Shareh et al.'s research \(2014\)](#), the concurrent validity of this inventory with Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI-II) was 0.39, and its convergent validity with Martin and Rubin's Cognitive Flexibility Scale was 0.75. The test-retest reliability of the entire scale was reported as 0.71, and Cronbach's alpha coefficients for the entire scale were reported as 0.90.

2.2. Procedure

Within the defined statistical population, a sample of 200 individuals was strategically selected through a convenience sampling method implemented in educational institutions. Following this, the research instruments were systematically printed and disseminated within the designated demographic. Given the inherent playfulness and potential restlessness of the student participants, which could lead to incomplete or compromised questionnaires, a precautionary measure was taken to distribute 260 questionnaires. This approach ensured the collection of 200 fully completed questionnaires, which were then rigorously analyzed statistically. The analytical methodology employed in this study included the application of Pearson correlation tests and structural equation modeling techniques. The statistical analysis was conducted using the advanced capabilities of SPSS 26 and LISREL 10.2 software.

3. Results

The study's demographic breakdown included 81 girls and 119 boys, constituting 40.5% and 59.5% of the sample, respectively. The participants' ages averaged 14.34 years, with a standard deviation of 2.08 years. Specifically, girls had an average age of 14.07 years, while boys were slightly older, averaging 14.61 years. Grade-wise distribution revealed 57 seventh graders (28.5%), 45 eighth graders (22.5%), and 98 ninth graders (49%), indicating a predominant representation of ninth graders in the sample. [Table 1](#) presents the mean and

standard deviation of the research variables, categorized accordingly.

Table 1

Mean and standard deviation of research variables

	Variable Source	Mean	Standard Deviation
Critical Thinking (total)		91.88	12.29
	Truth-Seeking	12.65	2.97
	Consistency	10.21	2.71
	Self-Control	7.51	1.79
Critical Thinking	Analytical Mindset	12.68	1.83
	Problem-solving Orientation	13.43	3.17
	Curiosity	14.95	2.98
	Self-Confidence	10.31	1.85
	Perfection and Maturity	10.17	1.66
	Parent-Child Interaction	93.78	13.15
	Cognitive Flexibility (total)	80.67	12.06
	Control	37.36	5.85
Cognitive Flexibility- Control	Justification	21.11	4.16
	Perception of Different Options	22.20	3.45

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was utilized to assess the normal distribution of the research variables. The results for critical thinking ($P = 0.10$, $Z = 0.09$) and its sub-scales, such as truth-seeking ($P = 0.37$, $Z = 0.91$), organized action ($P = 0.20$, $Z = 0.03$), self-control ($P = 0.49$, $Z = 0.83$), analytical thinking ($P = 0.09$, $Z = 0.07$), inclination towards problem situations ($P = 0.19$, $Z = 1.07$), curiosity ($P = 0.51$, $Z = 0.81$), self-confidence ($P = 0.06$, $Z = 1.33$), perfection and maturity ($P = 0.10$, $Z = 1.21$), and parent-child interaction ($P = 0.85$, $Z = 0.41$), along with the sub-scales of cognitive flexibility including control ($P = 0.26$, $Z = 0.71$), justification ($P = 0.25$, $Z = 1.01$), and perception of different options ($P = 0.09$, $Z =$

0.07), were not statistically significant. This indicates a normal distribution of data.

Furthermore, the tolerance index and variance inflation factor for the dependent variables of the study are greater than 0.1 (parent-child interaction at 0.837 and cognitive flexibility at 0.724) and less than 10 (parent-child interaction at 1.145 and cognitive flexibility at 1.381), respectively. This suggests that multicollinearity is not present among the research variables.

Table 2

Correlation matrix of research variables

Research variables	1	2	3
1. Parent-Child Interaction	1		
2. Critical Thinking	0.25**	1	
3. Cognitive Flexibility	0.33**	0.31**	1

*p < 0.05 **p < 0.01

It has been noted that a positive correlation exists among parent-child interaction, cognitive flexibility, and critical thinking.

In assessing the proposed model's fit, a specialized model fitting program was employed. This program is advantageous as it generates indices that gauge the model's

congruence with the empirical data. Essentially, these indices reveal the model's capacity to accurately represent the data. Thompson suggests that the most critical indices for evaluating model fit are The Chi Square Test (χ^2), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and Incremental Fit Index (IFI), as corroborated by [Meyers et al. \(2006\)](#).

Table 3

Model fit indices

Index	Estimated Model	Saturated Model	Independent Model	Criteria	Result
NPAR	35	120	15	-	-
X ²	366.108	0	1167.969	-	-
Df	85	0	105	-	-
X ² /df	0.0000	-	11.124	< 3	Unacceptable
IFI	0.740	1	0	> 0.8	Unacceptable
CFI	0.736	1	0	> 0.8	Unacceptable
RMSEA	0.129	0	0.229	< 0.07	Unacceptable

[Table 3](#) reveals that the chi-square to degrees of freedom ratio for the estimated model stands at 11.124, surpassing the acceptable threshold of 3, as per [Kline \(2011\)](#), thus deeming it unsatisfactory. The model's RMSEA value is measured at 0.129, exceeding the upper limit of 0.07, which further signifies a poor fit. Moreover, the IFI and CFI values, which ideally should exceed 0.8, fall short at 0.74 and 0.736, respectively,

rendering them inadequate. These indicators collectively suggest a significant discrepancy between the current model and the theoretical framework. To address this, the model underwent revisions, with the enhanced fit indices detailed in [Table 4](#).

Table 4

Improved Model Fit Indices

Index	Modified Model	Result
NPAR	43	-
χ^2	148.366	-
Df	77	-
χ^2/df	1.927	Acceptable
IFI	0.935	Acceptable
CFI	0.933	Acceptable
RMSEA	0.068	Acceptable

Table 4 illustrates that the chi-square to degrees of freedom ratio for the updated model stands at 1.927. With an RMSEA value of 0.068, the model achieves an

acceptable level of fit. Furthermore, the NFI and CFI values exceed the 0.8 benchmark, confirming the model's satisfactory alignment with the data.

Table 5

Overview of the Revised Model's Direct Coefficients

Path	Standardized Effect Coefficient	Significance Level	Significance at 95% Level	
			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Parent-Child Interaction on Critical Thinking Disposition	0.215*	0.006	0.078	0.354
Cognitive Flexibility on Critical Thinking Disposition	0.195*	0.037	0.043	0.374

* $p < 0.05$

Table 5 results show that parent-child interaction has a positive and direct effect on the variance of the criterion variable, namely the inclination towards critical thinking, by 0.22 units ($p < 0.05$). These coefficients, considering the estimation error, can range from 0.08 to 0.4 in the statistical population. Therefore, parent-child interaction has a direct effect on the inclination towards critical thinking ($p < 0.05$). Additionally, Table 5 indicates that cognitive flexibility has

a positive and direct effect on the variance of the criterion variable, namely the inclination towards critical thinking, by 0.195 units ($p < 0.05$). These coefficients, considering the estimation error, can range from 0.04 to 0.4 in the statistical population. Consequently, cognitive flexibility has a direct effect on the inclination towards critical thinking ($p < 0.05$).

Table 6

Indirect Coefficients Summary in the Revised Model of Parent-Child Interaction via Cognitive Flexibility and Its Impact on Critical Thinking Disposition

Indirect Path	Total Standard Effect	Significance Level	Indirect Effect Standard	Significance Level
Parent-Child Interaction on Critical Thinking Disposition	0.270**	0.004	0.056*	0.037

*p < 0.05

**p < 0.01

The results of [Table 6](#) demonstrate that parent-child interaction, through cognitive flexibility, has a positive and significant indirect effect on the inclination towards critical thinking by 0.07 units ($p < 0.05$). Therefore, parent-child interaction indirectly influences the tendency towards critical thinking via cognitive flexibility.

4. Discussion

The results underscore that the interaction between parents and children positively and directly influences the propensity for critical thinking. This concurs with the findings of [Ghodoumizadeh and Fooladchang \(2015\)](#). [Kouroshnia and Lotfian \(2011\)](#) have also demonstrated that the various interactive scenarios families encounter throughout life can cultivate critical thinking in offspring. Ennis, in 1996, posited that the higher the quality of the parent-child relationship, the more pronounced the emergence and enhancement of critical thinking in adolescents. Critical thinking comprises at least two fundamental elements: the scrutiny and evaluation of arguments, necessitating the acquisition of skills for accurate comprehension and appraisal of reasons and assertions; and a critical spirit, encompassing

attitudes towards the family, as well as mental attributes and personality traits. It is apparent that a family's environment is conducive to the development of critical thinking. Indeed, children who maintain a positive and robust relationship with their parents are more inclined to utilize various thinking styles, particularly critical thinking, though this is contingent upon the approach adopted by the parents. Parents who exhibit greater flexibility can significantly contribute to the intellectual growth of their progeny. In essence, parents who engage in positive interactions tend to nurture children who are critical thinkers. From the discourse, it is evident that the interactions between parents and children have a direct bearing on the inclination towards critical thinking. Thus, the research hypothesis is validated.

The present study also reveals that cognitive flexibility exerts a direct and positive impact on critical thinking. These findings are in harmony with those of [Gund and Gokce \(2021\)](#). To elucidate this hypothesis further, it was noted that in 1985, Ennis identified several characteristics integral to successful critical thinking, including the pursuit of diverse and flexible approaches devoid of bias, and the

formulation of conclusions from a variety of observations that are thoughtfully and reasonably subject to judgment. Clearly, this aspect of critical thinking is also discernible within the facets of cognitive flexibility. An individual endowed with critical thinking, who internalizes the competencies associated with critical thinking in the realm of posing essential questions and issues, collating and evaluating pertinent information, articulating results and substantiated solutions, and subjecting them to scrutiny, fortifies their intellectual frameworks. Such an individual is adept at identifying assumptions, rationales, and consequences, and forging effective connections to unearth solutions to intricate dilemmas. As [Paul and Elder \(2000\)](#) have articulated, critical thinking is a mode of contemplation about any topic or problem wherein the thinker augments the caliber and method of their thought process through the adept application of cognitive constructs, grounding it in rational standards. Engaging in this process necessitates the traits of cognitive flexibility, culminating in the cultivation of a critical thinker. Consequently, the research hypothesis is corroborated.

The recent study has revealed that the dynamics of parent-child interaction indirectly foster critical thinking through the lens of cognitive flexibility. As of now, there is a lack of comparative research on this specific topic, yet the outcomes of this investigation seem to resonate with the work of [Fatemi et al. \(2018\)](#). The bond between adolescents and children in general, with their parents is instrumental in shaping their critical thinking abilities. The greater the

flexibility parents exhibit in their everyday interactions with their adolescents, particularly in fostering open dialogue and opinion exchange, the more they aid in cultivating their children's critical thinking skills. Parents who adopt a democratic approach in child rearing and respond flexibly to their children's viewpoints and critiques are exceptionally effective in nurturing critically minded offspring. It is acknowledged that cognitive flexibility evolves throughout an individual's life. Researchers define cognitive flexibility as the ability to adapt one's focus and thought process across various tasks or functions, particularly in adapting to new demands and changing rules. Consequently, it is inferred that parents who practice flexibility in their interactions are likely to develop critical thinking skills in their children, and cognitive flexibility, along with an understanding of cognitive components, serves as a mediating factor in the relationship between parent-child interactions and critical thinking. In essence, parents who are adaptable indirectly mold their children's critical thinking capabilities. Hence, the research hypothesis stands validated.

A notable limitation of this study is its reliance on convenience sampling. The research, conducted among high school students in Lahijan city, is cross-sectional and correlational, limiting its applicability to other educational stages and locales. Being cross-sectional, it fails to capture the temporal evolution of variable relationships. The use of self-reported questionnaires for data collection might introduce biases into the findings. The investigation was confined

to two variables affecting critical thinking, notwithstanding the potential influence of other psychological factors.

5. Conclusion

In light of these considerations, it is proposed that future research should expand to different educational levels and cities, employing varied data gathering techniques like observation and interviews, and examining additional variables that may affect critical thinking, in a longitudinal format. Experimental methods should be utilized to explore causal connections. Based on the insights gained, it is advised that educational institutions and families emphasize the enhancement of parent-child interactions and cognitive flexibility among students, thereby fostering individuals with heightened critical faculties. Given the established direct impact of cognitive flexibility on critical thinking, it is recommended to organize workshops in schools to educate students on strategies to augment cognitive flexibility.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to all the people who participated in this study and contributed to and facilitated the present research process.

Conflict of interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest with any organization. Also, this research did not receive any specific grant

from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Akbar, R. A. (2023). Critical Thinking as a Twenty First Century Skill. *Journal of Educational Research and Social Sciences Review (JERSSR)*, 3(1), 8-15. <https://ojs.jerssr.org.pk/index.php/jerssr/article/download/138/73>
- Akcinar, B., & Baydar, N. (2014). Parental control is not unconditional detrimental for externalizing behaviors in early childhood. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 38(2), 118-127. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1177/0165025413513701>
- Baron, J. (2023). *Thinking and deciding*. Cambridge University Press.
- Burton, N. W., Pakenham, K. I., Brown, W. J. (2010). Feasibility and effectiveness of psychosocial resilience training: A pilot study of the READY program. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, 15(3), 266-277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13548501003758710>
- Campo, L., Galindo-Domínguez, H., Bezanilla, M. J., Fernández-Nogueira, D., & Poblete, M. (2023). Methodologies for fostering critical thinking skills from university students' points of view. *Education Sciences*, 13(2), 132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13020132>
- Clark, M. A., Robertson, M. M., Young, S. (2019). I feel your pain: A critical review of organizational research on empathy. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 40, 166–192. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2348>
- Daks, J. S., & Rogge, R. D. (2020). Examining the correlates of psychological flexibility in romantic relationship and family dynamics: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 18, 214–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2020.09.010>

- Dennis, J. P., VanderWal, J. S. (2010). The cognitive flexibility inventory: instrument development and estimates of reliability and validity. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 34(3), 53-241 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-009-9276-4>
- Ennis, R. h. (1985). A logical basis for measuring critical thinking skills. *Educational leadership*, 43, 44-48. <https://jgregorymcverry.com/readings/ennis1985assessingcriticalthinking.pdf>
- Etemadzadeh, H., Mohamadi, H., & Ariapooran, S. (2022). Correlation of Components of Child-Parent and Student-Teacher Relationships with Tendency to Critical Thinking in Sixth-Grade Students. *The Journal of New Thoughts on Education*, 18(3), 187-202. <https://doi.org/10.22051/jontoe.2022.31404.3046>
- Farazi, F., Esmaeili, M., Eskandari, E., Hatami, M. (2017). Effect of Educational Pattern Training on Parent-Child Relationship and Critical Thinking. *Journal of Educational Reseaches*, 4 (34), 75-94. <https://erj.khu.ac.ir/article-1-260-en.html>
- Gafurova, G. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF CRITICAL THINKING IN EDUCATION. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 5(5). <https://fl.jdpu.uz/index.php/fl/article/view/7757>
- Gulay, H., & Onder, A. (2011). Comparing parental acceptance: the rejection Levels and peer relationship of Turkish preschool children. *Procedia Social and Behavioral*, 15, 1818-1823.
- Güner, P., & Gökçe, S. (2021). Linking critical thinking disposition, cognitive flexibility and achievement: Math anxiety's mediating role. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 114(5), 458-473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2021.1975618>
- Habibi, A., Kolahi. B. (2022). Structural equation modeling and factor analysis. *Jahade Daneshgahi*
- Helsdingen, A., Van Gog, T., & Van Merriënboer, J. (2009). Critical thinking instruction and contextual interference to increase cognitive flexibility in complex judgment. <https://research.ou.nl/en/publications/critical-thinking-instruction-and-contextual-interference-to-incr>
- Ionescu, T. (2012). Exploring the nature of cognitive flexibility. *New ideas in psychology*, 30(2), 190-200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newideapsych.2011.11.001>
- Karimi, M. (2019). Investigating the Relationship between the Quality of Parent-Child Interaction and Critical Thinking disposition with Mental Health Components among Adolescent Girls. *Journal of Counseling Research*, 17 (68), 192-210. <http://irancounseling.ir/journal/article-1-882-en.html>
- Koroushnia, M. Latifian, M. (2012). The Relationship between Dimensions of Family/Teachers Communication Patterns and Students' Critical Thinking Dispositions with the Mediation of Basic Psychological Needs Satisfaction. *Journals of Family Research*, 7(28), 493-519. <https://www.sid.ir/paper/122469/en>
- Liao, W., Liu, M., Wang, Z., & Qin, K. (2022). Chinese expert teachers' critical thinking strategies for professional growth. *Professional Development in Education*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2022.2097290>
- Matthys, W., & J, E. lochman. (2010). *Oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder in childhood*. This edition First Published. John wiley & sons Ltd.
- Maynes, J. (2015). Critical thinking and cognitive bias. *Informal Logic*, 35(2), 183-203. <https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v35i2.4187>
- Mohammadi, D., Moslemi, Z., Ghomi, M. (2016). The relationship between critical thinking skills with creativity and academic achievement in students Qom University of Medical Sciences. *Education Strategies in Medical Sciences*, 9 (1), 79-89. <http://edcbmj.ir/article-1-959-en.html>
- Neymvari, N. E., Abolghasemi, S., & Haghighi, T. H. (2023). Analysis of structural equations in the relationship between family communication patterns with tendency to

- critical thinking and students' happiness with the mediating role of cognitive flexibility in students. *Journal of Adolescent and Youth Psychological Studies (JAYPS)*, 4(1), 49-60. <https://www.doi.org/10.52547/jspnay.4.1.61>
- Pulatova, F. A. (2023). Critical thinking is an important skill for every student. *World Bulletin of Management and Law*, 22, 57-58. <https://www.scholarexpress.net/index.php/wbml/article/view/2711>
- Rasmussen, E. C., White, S. R., King, A. J., Holiday, S., & Densley, R. L. (2016). Predicting Parental Mediation Behaviors: The Direct and Indirect Influence of Parents' Critical Thinking about Media and Attitudes about Parent-Child Interactions. *Journal of Media Literacy Education*, 8(2), 1-21. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1125597>
- Rohner, R. P. (2012). The warmth dimension: Foundations of parental acceptance–rejection theory. Storrs, CT: Rohner Research. (Original work published 1986) <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1987-97047-000>
- Rohner, R. P., Khaleque, A., & Cournoyer, D. E. (2012). Introduction to parental acceptance–rejection theory, methods, evidence, and implications. Retrieved from the University of Connecticut, Ronald and Nancy Rohner Center for the Study of Interpersonal Acceptance and Rejection Website
- Rostami, C., Jahangerlu, A., Ahmadian, H., Sohrabi, A. (2016). The role of cognitive flexibility and mindfulness in predicting student Procrastination. *Zanko Journal of Medical Sciences*, 17 (53), 50-61. <https://zanko.muk.ac.ir/article-1-131-en.html>
- Scheibling-Sève, C., Pasquinelli, E., & Sander, E. (2022). Critical thinking and flexibility. *Cognitive flexibility: The cornerstone of learning*, 77-112.
- Sharifan, Sh., and Khosropour, F. (2015). comparing the level of self-efficacy of students based on the communication skills of primary school teachers in Kerman, the third international conference on psychology and social sciences, Tehran. <https://civilica.com/doc/435552/>
- Shigto, a., Mangelsdorf, S. C., & Brown, G.L. (2014). Roles of Familcohesiveness, material adjustment, and child temperament in predicting child behavior with mothers and fathers. *Journal of social and Personal Relationships*, 31(2), 200–220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407513490586>
- Soltani, E., Shareh, H., Bahrainian, S. A., Farmani, A. (2013). The mediating role of cognitive flexibility in correlation of coping styles and resilience with depression. *Pajoohande*, 18 (2), 88-96. <http://pajoohande.sbm.ac.ir/article-1-1518-en.html>
- Stad, F. E., Wiedl, K. H., Vogelaar, B., Bakker, M., & Resing, W. C. M. (2019). The role of cognitive flexibility in young children's potential for learning under dynamic testing conditions. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 34(1), 123–146 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-018-0379-8>
- Sternberg, R. J., & Halpern, D. F. (Eds.). (2020). *Critical thinking in psychology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Zong J-G, Cao X-Y, Cao Y, Shi Y-F, Wang Y-N, Yan C, et al. (2010). Coping flexibility in college students with depressive symptoms. *Health Qual life Outcom.* 8(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-8-66>
- Zwiers, J., & Crawford, M. (2023). *Academic conversations: Classroom talk that fosters critical thinking and content understandings*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032680514>